To: Beck, Nancy[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]; Bertrand, Charlotte[Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov]

Cc: Dourson, Michael[dourson.michael@epa.gov]

From: Hanley, Mary

Sent: Wed 11/15/2017 7:51:07 PM

Subject: FW: For Review: Draft Response to SEPW Minority Letter

mdFINAL Dourson QFRs 11.14.2017..docx

mdDourson Response to SEPW Minority Letter.draft 11.14.17..docx

Nancy, Charlotte:

Please see Mike's edits to the version that you have. I will also print these. I will work off of Mike's version going forward. Charlotte, I will stop by to get your comments and integrate.

Note that OGC-ethics is working on Mike's recusal and won't comment on this package until after that is completed. That could be as early as tomorrow.

Cheers

M

From: Dourson, Michael

Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2017 8:04 PM

To: Fugh, Justina < Fugh. Justina@epa.gov>; Hanley, Mary < Hanley. Mary@epa.gov>

Cc: Griffo, Shannon < Griffo. Shannon@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: For Review: Draft Response to SEPW Minority Letter

Justina and Mary

Please find attached my strike and replace for the answers, and also a tweaked letter (which I did not do as S&R---sorry). I would be more than happy to take additional guidance. My take on the questions on page 3 of the incoming letter were that they reflected summaries of the prior questions. Thus, I gave what are probably verbose answers that should reflect an overview of the prior answers. I will defer to your collective wisdom on how best to respond to these questions, however, or to leave them as unresponded.

Cheers!

Michael

From: Fugh, Justina

Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2017 6:20 PM **To:** Hanley, Mary < <u>Hanley.Mary@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Dourson, Michael < dourson.michael@epa.gov >; Griffo, Shannon

<Griffo.Shannon@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: For Review: Draft Response to SEPW Minority Letter

Yes, we will look at this ASAP. I'll make edits tonight and then ask Shannon Griffo to look it over tomorrow. She drafted a recusal statement but I have not yet sent it forward. I'll email Shannon tonight with an update so she'll have the latest. I'm going to be out of pocket most of the day tomorrow, so you can chat with Shannon at 564 7061.

Justina Fugh | Senior Counsel for Ethics | Office of General Counsel | US EPA | Mail Code 2311A | Room 4308 North, William Jefferson Clinton Federal Building | Washington, DC 20460 (for ground deliveries, use 20004 for the zip code) | phone 202-564-1786 | fax 202-564-1772

From: Hanley, Mary

Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2017 5:00 PM **To:** Fugh, Justina < Fugh.Justina@epa.gov >

Cc: Dourson, Michael < dourson.michael@epa.gov >

Subject: FW: For Review: Draft Response to SEPW Minority Letter

Hello Justina,

We are finalizing a response to the SEPW Minority letter in which they request that Mike answer some questions (page 1-2 on the incoming letter attached) and also update his earlier answers to

some of the original QFRs. I have flagged a few items for you and Mike in the Dourson response letter. Would you be able to help with those items? We will need to finalize the pieces and get them to OCIR on Thursday. Many thanks. For more information on the attachments, etc. see my email below. Also, once I have edits from OCSPP I will share the next version with Brian Grant and company sometime tomorrow afternoon. Thanks.

Cheers

Mary

From: Hanley, Mary

Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2017 4:47 PM

To: Beck, Nancy < beck.nancy@epa.gov >; Bertrand, Charlotte < Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov >;

Louise Wise (Wise.Louise@epa.gov) < Wise.Louise@epa.gov>; Michael Dourson

(dourson.michael@epa.gov) <dourson.michael@epa.gov>

Cc: Kaitlin Keller (keller.kaitlin@epa.gov) < keller.kaitlin@epa.gov>
Subject: For Review: Draft Response to SEPW Minority Letter

Hello,

Attached is the draft letter response and an attachment with the suggested updated answers to a group of the original QFRs that were identified in the incoming letter. Changes to the answers are shown in redline. Also attached is the incoming letter and another copy with Mike's initial draft answers embedded. I am also including the Bodine response letter which we are using a model for responding.

Please note that draft letter response only addresses the questions presented on pages 1-2 of the letter. Rather than attempt to answer the comments on page 3 of the incoming letter we are responding to the specific QFRs in the attachment. The comments on page 3 of the incoming reference QFRs but it is impossible to pin down which QFRs they refer to. As you review the QFRs please keep in mind the comments on page 3 to see if any updates might address the comments as appropriate.

Note the QFR attachment needs some formatting so don't worry about the page numbering, etc. That will be taken care of in the next version. I will wait for your edits before sending to OGC for their review. The only exception is that I will send the response letter to Justine to see if she

can assist with the items I flagged for her/Mike.	
Please let me know of any questions.	
Cheers	
Mary	